ANNEX to the Joint Statement of the Forest-based Industries

Proposals for amendments to the Commission Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the making available on the Union market as well as export from the Union of certain commodities and products associated with deforestation and forest degradation and repealing Regulation (EU) No 995/2010

1) Amendments on Art. 2 - Definitions

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 2 paragraph (6)	Article 2 paragraph (6)
(6) 'forest degradation' means harvesting	Delete
operations that are not sustainable and cause a	
reduction or loss of the biological or economic	
productivity and complexity of forest	
ecosystems, resulting in the long-term reduction	
of the overall supply of benefits from forest,	
which includes wood, biodiversity and other	
products or services;	
Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 2 paragraph (7)	Article 2 paragraph (7)
(7) 'sustainable harvesting operations' means	Delete
harvesting that is carried out considering	
maintenance of soil quality and biodiversity with	
the aim of minimising negative impacts, in a way	
that avoids harvesting of stumps and roots,	
degradation of primary forests or their	
conversion into plantation forests, and	
harvesting on vulnerable soils; minimises large	
clear-cuts and ensures locally appropriate	
thresholds for deadwood extraction and	
requirements to use logging systems that	
minimise impacts on soil quality, including soil	
compaction, and on biodiversity features and	
habitats;	
Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 2 paragraph (8)	Article 2 paragraph (8)
'deforestation-free' means	'deforestation-free' means
(a) that the relevant commodities and products,	(a) that the relevant commodities and products,
including those used for or contained in relevant	including those used for or contained in relevant
products, were produced on land that has not	products, have neither caused nor contributed
been subject to deforestation after December	towards deforestation after the date of entry
31, 2020, and	into force of this Regulation;
(b) that the wood has been harvested from the	
forest without inducing forest degradation	
after December 31, 2020;	

The Regulation should focus all the efforts on halting deforestation and not divert away from this problem and its root causes, namely agricultural expansion on former forest land. The proposed Regulation is not the right tool to introduce criteria for sustainable forest management at a global level, and forest degradation should not be included in the definition of "deforestation-free" commodities and products. The issue should be rather tackled at pan-European and international level.

The proposed definition of "sustainable harvesting operations" unduly overstep the competence of Member States on forest management and ignores the need for silvicultural practices adapted to different local conditions, forest types, structures and habitats.

At the same time the definition is very much open to interpretation and would place a disproportionate burden on the EU forest sector and place EU operators, who already abide by national legislation on Sustainable Forest Management, under great uncertainty.

Finally, the definition of "deforestation-free" should make clear that the production of relevant commodities is not causing nor contributing to deforestation. For example, if forest clearing is triggered by agricultural expansion, logging of wood may occur but would not be the cause itself of deforestation. Retroactive legislation should also be avoided.

2) Amendments on Art. 9 – Information requirements

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 9 paragraph (1) point a	Article 9 paragraph (1) point a
(a) description, including the trade name and type of relevant commodities and products as well as, where applicable, the common name of the species and its full scientific name;	(a) description, including the trade name and type of relevant commodities and products as well as, where applicable, the common name of the species and its full scientific name except for composite and recycled, reused and/or reclaimed materials;

Justification

Recycled materials are derived from highly processed waste material. Composite materials made of small fibers of more than one kind of plant that are bonded together chemically and include: Medium Density Fiberboard (MDF), High Density Fiberboard (HDF), Oriented Strand Board (OSB), Particle Board, Paper, Paperboard, and Cardboard. It is almost impossible to provide information on the whole range of potential tree species in such complex materials.

Plot-of land traceability: Option A (preferred)

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 9 paragraph (1) point c and d	Article 9 paragraph (1) point c and d

(c) identification of the country of production;	(c) identification of the country of production, and, where applicable:
	(i) Sub-national region where the timber was harvested (ii) Concession of harvest
(d) geo-localisation coordinates, latitude and longitude of all plots of land where the relevant commodities and products were produced, as well as date or time range of production;	delete

Justification

The identification of "geo-location coordinates" of plot of harvest is not feasible for finished wood-based products. It is possible to follow the raw material from the felling site to industry, but after that the parts of the decomposed log can no longer be connected to a specific felling area. Legislation must therefore focus on a scale other than "plots of land". It is suggested to align the requirement with the EU Timber Regulation, which prescribes to gather information to the sub-national region and concession of harvest when applicable. This is feasible for the industry and would reduce the additional administrative burden.

Plot of land traceability: Option B

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 12, paragraph (1)	Article 12 paragraph (1)
When placing relevant commodities or products	When placing relevant commodities or products
on the Union market or exporting them from it,	on the Union market or exporting them from it,
operators are not required to fulfil the	operators are not required to fulfil the
obligations under Article 10 where they can	obligations under <i>Article 9, paragraph 1, point</i>
ascertain that all relevant commodities and	d, and Article 10 where they can ascertain that
products have been produced in countries or	all relevant commodities and products have
parts thereof that were identified as low risk in	been produced in countries or parts thereof
accordance with Article 27.	that were identified as low risk in accordance
	with Article 27.

Justification

The identification of "geo-location coordinates" of plot of harvest is not feasible for finished wood-based products. It is possible to follow the raw material from the felling site to industry, but after that the parts of the decomposed log can no longer be connected to a specific felling area, but rather to a very large number of harvesting plots. It is disproportionate to impose such obligation to operators who source the raw material in countries that are already classified of low-risk.

3) Amendments on Art 10 – Risk assessment and risk mitigation procedures

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 10 paragraph (2), point i and j	Article 10 paragraph (2), point i and j
i) substantiated concerns submitted under	Delete
Article 29.4	
j) complementary information on compliance	j) <i>assurance of compliance</i> with this Regulation,
with this Regulation, which may include	which may include certification or other third-
information supplied by certification or other	party verified schemes, including voluntary
third-party-verified schemes, including	schemes recognised by the Commission under
voluntary schemes recognised by the	Article 30(5) of Directive (EU) 2018/2001
Commission under Article 30(5) of Directive (EU)	
2018/2001, provided that the information	
meets the requirements set out in Article 9;	

Justification

Alignment with EU Timber Regulation (Reg.(EU)995/2010) on the role of certification for the purpose of risk assessment and mitigation.

4) Amendment on Art. 13 – Competent authority

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
Article 13 paragraph (5) and (6)	Article 13 paragraph (5) and (6)
5. Without prejudice to the operators' obligation to exercise due diligence as set out in Article 8, Member States <i>may</i> provide technical and other assistance and guidance to operators, taking into account the situation of SMEs, in order to facilitate compliance with the requirements of this Regulation.	5. Without prejudice to the operators' obligation to exercise due diligence as set out in Article 8, Member States <i>should</i> provide technical and other assistance and guidance to operators, taking into account the situation of SMEs, in order to facilitate compliance with the requirements of this Regulation.
6. Member States, <i>may</i> facilitate the exchange and dissemination of relevant information, in particular with a view to assisting operators in assessing risk as set out in Article 9, and on best practices regarding the implementation of this Regulation.	6. Member States, <i>should</i> facilitate the exchange and dissemination of relevant information, in particular with a view to assisting operators in assessing risk as set out in Article 9, <i>especially regarding all legally required documents for each country of harvest so that materials can be operated without certification and on best practices regarding the implementation of this Regulation.</i>

There is a need for harmonised guidance and clear instruction for operators to implement the new regulation requirements.

5) Product scope

Commission proposal	Proposal for an amendment
ANNEX I	ANNEX I
Pulp and paper of Chapters 47 and 48 of the Combined Nomenclature, with the exception of bamboo-based and recovered (waste and scrap) products	Pulp and paper of Chapters 47 and 48 of the Combined Nomenclature, with the exception of bamboo-based and recovered (waste and scrap) products
	New: Chatper 49 of the Combined Nomenclature: Printed books, newspapers, pictures and other products of the printing industry; manuscripts, typescripts and plans

Justification

The non-inclusion of printed products can lead to circumvention and uneven level playing field. There is a risk that finished products based on wood that is not deforestation-free, instead of being traded to the EU in the form of wood, pulp or paper, are traded to countries with less stringent rules on deforestation before being imported in the EU in the form of printed products. Due to this risk of circumvention, the problem the regulation is trying to address may remain in the countries of origin, while manufacturing jobs are delocalised from the EU to countries with less stringent rules on deforestation.